

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 00002 301648Z

46

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 INRE-00

USIE-00 EB-07 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03

NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04 PRS-01 SAJ-01

SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 TRSE-00 NSC-05 NRC-05 ERDE-00 /095 W  
----- 052886

O P 301500Z SEP 75

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3785

SECDEF WASHDC IMMEDIATE

INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

S E C R E T SECION 2 OF 2 USNATO 5306

8. FRG REP NOTED THAT THE BRACKETED BELGIAN WORDING ON MANPOWER LIMITATIONS REMAINED IN PARA 5. HE SUGGESTED THAT ONE SOLUTION, FORMTHE BLEGIAN POINT OF VIEW, WOULD BE TO MOVE PARA 10 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCEIN ITS ENTIRETY INTO PARA 5, AS A REPLACEMENT FOR THE PRESENT BELGIAN WORDING IN PARA 5. PUTTING PARA 10 AHEAD OF PARA 6 WOULD MAKE IT CLEARER THAT WHENEVER THE EAST ASKED FOR LIMITATIONS ON ALLIED NON US EQUIPMENT, THE ALLIED NEGOTIATOES COULD RESPOND THAT SUCH LIMITATIONS ARE UNACCEPTABLE. HE THOUGHT THAT THIS STRENGTHENING OF PARA 5 SHOULD ENABLE BELGIUM TO DROP ITS PRSENTS BRACETED WORDING IN PARA 5. BELGINA REP (BURNY) SAID HE THOUFHT BELGIUM COULD ACCEPT THIS SOLUTION, ALTHOUGHT WITH THE FIRST BRACKETED PHRASE IN PARA 10 RATHER THEN ATHE SECOND. (COMMENT: WE RECOMMEND ACCEPTANCE OF THE FRG SUGGESTION TO REMOVE THE PRESNET BELGIAN WORDING FROM PARA 5, AND TO INSERT PARA 10 IN ITS PLACE, MAINTAINING THE SECOND BRACKETED PHRASE IN PARA 10 ("THE ONLY ACCEPTABLE LIMITATIONS..."). THIS WOULD  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 00002 301648Z

SECRUE THE ELIMINATION OF THE MORE DAMAGING BELGIAN WORDING PRESENTLY IN PARA 5. THE NEW PARA 5 WOULD STILL CONTAIN THE TWO BRACKETED ALTERNATIVES ON MANPOWER LIMITATIONS PRESENTLY IN PARA 10, BUTH THIS WOULD BE THE

ONLY REMAINING DIFFERENCE RERELATIONSHIP OF MANPOWER  
LIMITATIONS TO EQUIPMENT.)

9. SPC AGREED AD REFERENDUM TO THE CANADIAN PROPOSAL TO  
REPLACE THE BRACKETED PHRASES IN THE FIRST SENTENCE OF PARA  
6 BY " THE BASIC ELEMENTS AS CONTAINED IN PARA 1".

10. US REP SAID US WAS UNABLE TO ACCEPT THE UK PROPOSAL TO  
REPLACE " WITHDRAWN BY THE US" IN THE FINAL TIC OF PARA 6 BY  
" US NUCLEAR ELEMENTS WHICH ARE LIMITED AS DESCRIBED ABOVE".  
THE UK PROPOSAL WAS ACCEPTABLE TO THE REST OF THE SPC.  
(COMMENT: WE WOULD APPRECIATE A BRIEF WASHINGTON COMMENT  
FOR USE WITH THE ALLIES ON WHY US IN REF D WAS UNABLE TO  
ACCEPT THE UK PROPOSAL. THE BACKGROUND OF THIS ISSUE S DESCRIBED  
IN PARA 6, REF C. THE ORIGIN OF THE ISSUE IS THE BELGIAN  
PROPOSAL TO REPLACE " WITHDRAWN BY THE US" BY " US NUCLEAR  
ELEMENTS WHICH ARE LIMITED". OTHER SPC MEMBERS ARE  
INDIFFERENT ON THIS ISSUE, AND COULD ACCEPT EITHER OF THESE  
PHRASES, OR THE UK COMPROMISE PHRASE. AS NOTED IN PARA 6,  
REF C, AN ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE TO HAVE " WITHDRAWN BY THE US" IN  
THE DRAFT GUIDANCE, AND THE BELGIAN OR UK SENTENCE AS PARA 11  
(BIS) OF THE POSITION PAPER, AS AN EXPLANATION OF " WITH-  
DRAWN BY THE US".)

11. ACTION REQUESTED: IN TIME FOR SPC MEETING THURSDAY,  
OCTOBER 2:

A. CONFIRMATION THAT WE MAY ACCEPT THE FRG SUGGESTION  
TO MOVE ALL OF PARA 10 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE INTO PARA 5 OF THE  
DRAFT GUIDANCE AS A WAY OF GETTING RID OF THE PRESENT BRACKETED  
BELGIAN LANGUAGE IN PARA 5, PER PARA 8 ABOVE.

B. GUIDANCE ON THE ISSUE  
REPRESENTED BY "WITHDRAWN BY THE US" IN FINAL TIC OF PARA 6  
OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE, IN LIGHT OF COMMENT IN PARA 10 ABOVE.  
STREATOR

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 18 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 30 SEP 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** izenbei0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975NATO00002  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** AS A WAY OF GETTING RID OF THE PRESENT BRACKETED  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197509102/abbrzhkj.tel  
**Line Count:** 91  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** ONLY  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** ONLY  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** izenbei0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:** ANOMALY  
**Review Date:** 10 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <10 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <25 SEP 2003 by izenbei0>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
06 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** n/a  
**TAGS:** n/a  
**To:** STATE  
SECDEF INFO MBFR VIENNA  
BONN  
LONDON  
USNMR SHAPE  
USCINCEUR

**Type:** TE

**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006